## SCHOOLS FORUM

Wednesday, 24th June, 2015 at 3.30 pm

# Woodlands Community College Minstead Avenue Harefield Southampton SO18 5FW 

## PLEASE NOTE TIME OF MEETING

LEAD OFFICER<br>Dave Cuerden, Finance Manager<br>Tel : 02380833878<br>Email : dave.cuerden@southampton.gov.uk<br>FORUM ADMINISTRATOR<br>Ed Grimshaw<br>Tel: 02380832390<br>Email: ed.grimshaw@southampton.gov.uk

## AGENDA

Agendas and papers are now available via the City Council's website

1 APOLOGIES AND CHANGES IN MEMBERSHIP (IF ANY)
2 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING
(Pages 1-4)
Minutes of the meeting held on $29^{\text {th }}$ April 2015, attached.

## 3 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

In accordance with the Localism Act 2011, and the Council's Code of Conduct, Members to disclose any personal or pecuniary interests in any matter included on the agenda for this meeting.

NOTE: Members are reminded that, where applicable, they must complete the appropriate form recording details of any such interests and hand it to the Democratic Support Officer.

4 SECONDARY SCHOOL EXPANSION
(Pages 5-14)
Report of the School Organisation and Strategy Manager, attached.
5 SCHOOL BALANCES 2014-2015
(Pages 15-22)
Report of the Chief Financial Officer detailing the revenue and capital balances held by schools at the end of 2014/15, attached.

## Agenda Item 2

## SCHOOLS FORUM NOTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON WEDNESDAY 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ APRIL 2015 MANSBRIDGE PRIMARY SCHOOL

Present:

| Primary School Representatives |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Mark Sheehan | Mansbridge Primary School |  |
| Peter Howard | Fairisle Junior School |  |
| Secondary School Representatives |  |  |
| Richard Harris (Chair) | Governor, Cantell Maths and Computing College |  |
| Toni Sambrook | Headteacher, Woodlands Community College |  |
| Jonty Archibald | Headteacher, Regents Park Community College |  |
| Ruth Evans | Headteacher, Cantell Maths and Computing |  |
| Special Schools Representatives |  |  |
| Johnathan Howells | Headteacher, Cedar School as substitute for Andy <br> Evans |  |
| Academy Representatives |  |  |
| David Turner | Governor, Townhill Infant School |  |
| Anne Murphy | St Anne's Convent School |  |
| Pupil Referral Unit Representative |  |  |
| Alison Parsons | PRU/Compass |  |
| Nursery Schools Representative |  |  |
| Anne Downie | Startpoint Northam and Sholing |  |
| Non Schools Representative |  |  |
| Anna Wright | PVI provider for Early Years Entitlement |  |
| Observers | Early Years/ Sure Start |  |
| Sue Thompson | Also in attendance: |  |
| David Cuerden | Democratic Support Officer |  |
| Ed Grimshaw | Robert Hardy |  |
|  |  |  |

## 1. APOLOGIES AND CHANGES IN MEMBERSHIP (IF ANY)

Apologies were received from Andy Evans, Councillors Jeffrey and Paffey and Julie Swanston.

It was noted that the following Members had been appointed:

- Jonty Archibald- Headteacher, Regents Park Community College
- Toni Sambrook - Headteacher, Woodlands Community College The following vacancies were noted:
- $2 \times$ Primary School Representatives
- 1 X Academy Representative
- 1 X Non Schools Representative for post 16 Education
- 1 X Secondary Representative Substitute

Members passed a vote of thanks to Mark Sheehan who had kindly agreed to hold the meeting at the school and had arranged for the refreshments.

Toni Sambrook, Woodlands Community College, agreed to host the next meeting of the Forum on $24^{\text {th }}$ June 2015.
2. NOTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING AND MATTERS ARISING

The Minutes of the Meetings held on $11^{\text {th }}$ March 2015 were approved as a correct record.

## 3. INTERVENTION FUND

The Forum noted the calculations for and the balance of the Intervention Fund 2015/16.

The Forum AGREED that access to support from this Fund should be limited to local authority maintained primary and secondary schools only. However, it was additionally AGREED that Academies, Free Schools, Special Schools and the PRU would be offered the opportunity to apply to join the Intervention Fund scheme under an SLA.

The Forum noted that the pro-rata contribution for each school currently not eligible for the fund could be identified if requested. Where a school was interested in joining the scheme they would need to notify the appropriate officer and agree to sign up to a Service Level Agreement.

It was AGREED that any prospective contributions would be based on the same per pupil amount that was used to calculate the de-delegation of
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the mainstream primary and secondary schools budgets. In addition it would be based on October 2014 census numbers. However for Special Schools any prospective charge would be based on per place and not per pupil.

## 4. <br> PROVISIONAL PROGRAMME OF WORK 2015/2016

The forum received and noted a provisional work programme for the 2015-2016 year.

Members requested that any discussion on future funding formulas should make clear what the starting point for fund formulas should be should a school be going through a period of expansion. In addition to the items listed on the programme it was requested that a discussion on the National Funding Formula be scheduled.
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## Agenda Item 4

SUBJECT: SECONDARY SCHOOL EXPANSION
DATE: 24 JUNE 2015
RECIPIENT: SCHOOLS FORUM

## THIS IS NOT A DECISION PAPER

## SUMMARY:

1 Southampton has seen a significant increase in demand for Primary School places. From 16,518 places in 2011, an additional 5,458 Primary places will have been added by the start of the 2015/16 academic year to provide sufficient places for all children who require one.
From September 2016 the expanded year groups will begin to work their way through to the Secondary sector. Allowing for the expansion of Bitterne Park Secondary (under the Priority Schools Building Programme) by September 2017 the available surplus of places is forecast to be below the Department for Education (DfE) recommended 5 per cent which is to allow for parental preference and operational flexibility. By September 2018, without further expansion, the City is forecast to have an -2.6 per cent deficit of places with particular pressure in the central and west school capacity planning areas of the City.


In line with the forecast peak year of Primary admissions (2015/16), the Secondary Sector will see its peak in 2022/23 with a forecast deficit of -25.7 per cent (requiring 605 additional places to meet demand)
This paper outlines a proposed timeline for proposals to expand Secondary School provision in the City.

## BRIEFING PAPER

## BACKGROUND and BRIEFING DETAILS:

2 Local Authorities have a statutory duty under Section 14 of the Education Act 1996 to ensure that sufficient School places are available in their area and to secure diversity of provision, increasing opportunities for parental choice.

3

7 Schools cannot expand or alter unless they have secured the required funding, accommodation and permissions (including landlord's consent and planning permissions) and the LA (where it is the Admissions Authority) will need to have consented to a change in the School's PAN.

8 In relation to this, a consultation is currently underway at the Inspire Enterprise Academy proposing a change from a Studio School (work related learning aimed at 14-19 year olds with admissions in Year 10) to a mainstream Academy (provision for 11-16 year olds with admissions at Year 7). If approved by the DfE the change could take place from September 2017.

9 This would add 75 places to the City's Year 7 capacity in the central planning area of the City. However, Inspire Enterprise Academy cannot expand within its current location and the DfE have made it clear that any expansion or new premises would need to be funded from the unringfenced Basic Need Capital Grant.
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## BRIEFING PAPER

A second proposal has been put together by the Jeffery's Education Trust (JET: part of the Hamwic Trust) to expand Upper Shirley High School (Academy) from a PAN of 150 to a possible PAN of 260 places. This is in response to the expansion of Wordsworth Primary School (JET Academy) and the trusts perception that parental preference is for children to attend Upper Shirley High School and remain within the Hamwic Trust family. If approved by the DfE the expansion would take affect from September 2017.

11 This would add 110 places to the City's Year 7 capacity in the west planning area of the City.

12 The effect of these expansions (if approved) would be to relieve pressure on School places in the short term. Allowing for these expansions SCC would have a surplus of places ( 6.8 per cent) in 2017/18, falling below the recommended 5 per cent surplus in 2018/19 (2 per cent surplus) and would offset any deficit of places until 2019/20 (-8.2 per cent deficit). However the pressure on School places at this point would continue to be in the west and central planning areas.

13 Schools in the east of the City are not forecast to see a deficit of places until 2022/23: the peak year of forecast Year 7 admissions.

14 Particularly in relation to Academy Schools, it is therefore important that Southampton City Council (SCC) consults on and agrees a master plan with all Head Teachers, Governors and Stakeholders to ensure the provision of sufficient places in areas where forecast demand is greatest.

15 The combined Year 7 PAN for all Secondary Schools in the City in 2014/15 is 2,290. The table below shows the latest forecast of children who will require a Year 7 place over the next ten years and the shortage of places we could face without expansion of the Secondary sector. ${ }^{1}$

| Academic <br> Year | Number of Year 7 <br> Pupils | Surplus/Deficit Year <br> 7 Places | Surplus/Deficit <br> (DfE recommended <br> $5 \%$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 / 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 9 0}$ | 200 | 105 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 / 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 5 8}$ | 132 | 24 |
| Expansion of Bitterne Park Secondary (September 2017) |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 / 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 9 2}$ | 58 | -57 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 / 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 1 1}$ | -61 | -182 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 / 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 6 1}$ | -311 | -444 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 / 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 9 8}$ | -248 | -378 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 / 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 6 7}$ | -417 | -555 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 / 2 3}$ | 2,955 | -605 | -753 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 / 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 8 3}$ | -333 | -467 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 4 / 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 8 1}$ | -231 | -360 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 5 / 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 0 1}$ | -251 | -381 |

[^0]
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16 When broken down by area (as per the School Capacity Survey Forecasts 2014) it is easier to see how this pressure on School places is not evenly spread throughout the City.

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 / 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 / 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 / 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 / 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 / 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 / 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 / 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 / 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 / 2 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 16 | -1 | -34 | -64 | -127 | -111 | -154 | -200 | -132 |
| Central | -31 | -55 | -102 | -144 | -231 | -209 | -268 | -334 | -239 |
| East | 215 | 188 | 194 | 147 | 47 | 72 | 5 | -71 | 38 |
| $\%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{- 2 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 3 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 3 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 7 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 5 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 4 . 2 \%}$ |
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Forecast future demand on Year 7 places by area and SCC total
Provisional forecasting based on birth data (above) suggests that following the 2022/23 peak of demand there will be a slight drop in the number of places needed at Year 7 followed by a possible future rise. However, as the peak year works its way through the system there will continue to be pressure on the overall number of School places required in the Secondary sector.


Forecast future demand on Secondary places SCC total

## BRIEFING PAPER

18 The size of a School is often discussed with reference to its forms of Entry, where one form of entry equates to 30 pupils. In terms of forms of entry (FE) this forecast suggests the LA will need to provide an additional 21 FE (equal to 630 Year 7 places) by September 2022 to meet demand. ${ }^{2}$

19 2022/23 will be a year of peak demand and the forecast suggests a slight drop in subsequent years. As such, it may be that we increase the Year 7 Pan to approximately 3110 places for one year only, before reducing it the following year. This approach of 'bulge' classes is the approach currently being taken in the Primary Sector.

Each School has a Net Capacity Assessment (NCA) which calculates the maximum number of students that a School can accommodate. This figure is calculate using the number of available teaching spaces against the area guidelines of Building Bulletin 103: Area Guidelines for Mainstream Schools (June 2014).

21 Some Southampton Secondary Schools have an indicated NCA which is larger than their current PAN. As such, some Schools have the capacity to accommodate extra pupils within their existing buildings. These Schools are:

| School | Area | Current <br> PAN | NCA | Potentia <br> I PAN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regents Park Community College | Central | 150 | 942 | $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ |
| Cantell Maths and Computing College <br> (PFI) | Central | 230 | 1,390 | $\mathbf{2 7 0}$ |
| St Anne's Catholic College | Central | 200 | 1,112 | $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ |
| Chamberlayne College for the Arts | East | 180 | 999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ |
| Woodlands Community College (PFI) | East | 180 | 1,161 | $\mathbf{2 3 0}$ |

If these expansions are possible, and were approved, the added 160 places would give the City an adequate number of Secondary places up to, and including, the 2018/19 academic year (providing a 3.9 per cent surplus of places in that year). Further expansion would still be required by September 2019 as these expansions would leave a 6 per cent deficit of places in 2019/20. ${ }^{3}$

23 If the expansions at the Inspire Enterprise Academy and Upper Shirley High were to be approved and added to these NCA expansions, the surplus in 2018/19 would rise to 8 per cent but there would still be a forecast deficit of places in 2019/20 (-1.6 per cent).

[^1]
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24 It is anticipated that any expansion within a Schools Net Capacity Assessment would require minor works to be carried out at each School (additional toilets are one consideration). Officers intend to complete site visits of each Secondary School, with individual Head Teachers and Governors, along with Capita as our strategic partners, to assess the possible expansion options while also consulting all Schools, Governors and Stakeholders to gather their views. Such expansions would be seen as 'Quick Wins': where minimal works could add places while improving current provision within the City.

25 The purpose of the site visits will be to work with Head Teachers and Schools to correctly assess Net Capacity against the area guidelines in Building Bulletin 103 (Area Guidelines for Mainstream Schools (June 2014)).

26 The visits will confirm the Net Capacity information for each School and allow Schools and Officers to work together to identify which Schools could expand within their current buildings (with or without minimal works (Quick Wins)) as well as identifying which Schools could accommodate future capital works.

27 To this end, officers intend to complete these site visits alongside our strategic partners (Capita) in order to fully explore each site and the possibilities for expansion as well as gaining an insight into possible risks.

28 The first site visit is to take place before Easter (end March, 2015) and, as the first in the line, will be seen as a pilot for visits going forward. The pilot has been approved by the Principal Officer Early Years and Education and will draw from the Capita retainer.

29 It is intended that such site visits take place at each School in the City (which will require the virement of funds from elsewhere in the Capital Programme via a DDN) and are to be complete by June 2015: as per the provisional timetable, below.

30 Findings from the site visits would be shared with DMT/CMB in August 2015 before the next stage of consultation with Head Teachers, Governors and other stakeholders.

31 A full series of consultations would take place with Schools between September and December 2015. The aim is to ensure all Schools are kept informed throughout the process and that the views of Head Teachers and Governors form the basis of any Secondary expansion within the City. Such buy-in will be imperative in order that the LA plan is seen as the master plan for expansion within the City.

32 It is proposed that a series of feasibility studies should run in tandem with the consultation and formative stages of the programme (as in the site visits) to ensure all possibilities are explored for expansion within the City and to ascertain costs before any future paper is submitted to Cabinet.
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In order to ensure places for September 2019, a Cabinet Decision on Secondary expansions would be required no later than March, 2016 to ensure enough time can be given to the commissioning and design works as well as to allow the LA, where it is the admission authority, and Schools to determine admission arrangements for 2018.

## RESOURCE/POLICY/FINANCIAL/LEGAL IMPLICATIONS:

34 The Department for Education currently issue an annual unringfenced Basic Need capital grant to assist Local Authorities with expansion of the School estate (maintained Schools and Academies) due to increases in pupil numbers. The total unallocated Basic Need grant currently confirmed and available to the Council is $£ 9.4$ million (2015-17). Assuming current levels of this grant are maintained, where the need for spaces is identified, potential funding from government would be approximately $£ 30$ million (2015-2022). A high level figure for the total cost of Secondary expansion in the City would be between £40 million and £60 million pounds dependent on how and where the LA decided to expand.

35 The council has received additional Targeted Basic Need funding for some primary expansion projects e.g. St Johns Primary, so it is likely that additional grant may be awarded if sufficient need can be demonstrated.

36 Several periods of consultation would need to take place before any PAN and/or building size increases are implemented. Any changes to admissions arrangements (e.g. PAN \& oversubscription criteria) for all Schools must be consulted on for a minimum of 8 weeks between 1 November and 1 March of the year prior to the arrangements being implemented. For example, to increase PAN's in 2017/18, consultation would need to take place between November 2015 and March 2016. For Community Schools the Local Authority determines the PAN; for Voluntary Aided \& Foundation Schools the Governing Body has this authority and for academies this responsibility lies with the academy trust. All admission authorities must determine admission arrangements by 15 April every year.

37 Consultation must also take place regarding the physical expansion of School buildings, in line with Department for Education School Organisation guidance. Where the Local Authority is proposing the enlargements of School premises, a notice detailing the proposals should be published in the local newspaper, at the School and on the Council's website. The consultation period is for exactly four weeks and a decision as to whether or not the proposals should be implemented has to be made within two months after the consultation has closed. Alternatively if the governing body of a foundation or voluntary aided School wishes to propose an enlargement, no statutory consultation process is required. Similarly if an academy trust wants to expand their School, there is no requirement for consultation, although the approval of the Secretary of State will be required.

The Education Act 1996 (Section 14) places a statutory duty on Local Authorities (LAs) to secure that sufficient School places are available in their area.

## BRIEFING PAPER

Section 13 of the Education Act 1996 (as amended by the Education and Inspections Act 2006) ensures that a Local Authority has a statutory duty relating to the provision of education that is if a high standard, guarantees fair access and opportunity for all and promotes the educational potential of every child.

40 Section 14 of the Education Act 1996 (as amended by the Education and Inspections Act 2006) also states that a Local Authority shall secure sufficient school places for all pupils with a view to securing diversity in the provision of schools and increasing opportunities for parental choice.

## OPTIONS and TIMESCALES:

41

| Date | Action | Decision Required |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| March 2015 |  | Pilot School Capacity Survey/Site Visit |

## BRIEFING PAPER

## Building a New School:

This option was previously discounted for the following reasons:

- It would be disproportionately expensive relative to expansion of existing Schools, owing to the need to build ancillary facilities and potential site acquisition costs;
- There is a paucity of suitable sites (in terms of location and size) to accommodate such provision; and
- The creation of such provision could create issues in the future if pupil numbers were to drop again, as it would not be as readily "mothballed" as expansions on existing sites.


## Reutilisation of recently closed School sites:

This option was previously discounted primarily on the basis of these sites being in the wrong location for new provision, with regard to the anticipated spread of pupil numbers across the city. Other discounting factors included the expense of refurbishing the accommodation in question (bearing in mind the lack of maintenance since its closure), as well as the fact that the sites have typically been earmarked for disposal (with this process being fairly well progressed).

## 44 <br> Utilisation of Primary Schools:

This option was considered in terms of the potential to create "all through" provision on primary sites. This option was discounted on the basis that there aren't any primary sites in the city that would be of sufficient size, without the total redesign and rebuild of facilities. As stated above, such a proposal would be disproportionately expensive relative to the proposals included within this report.

## 45 <br> Approach Hampshire to provide additional places:

Whilst a number of families do exercise their right to attend a School outside the City, we thought we would seek to provide the opportunity for all residents who want to, to access 'good' or better Schools in Southampton. If all our Schools are 'good' or better, the number leaving the city, currently approximately 200 children per year, is likely to reduce. In addition, discussions with Hampshire have indicated that they are not intending to expand secondary provision close to the Southampton border and that, in fact, they may expect the number of Southampton-based pupils attending Hampshire Schools to reduce in the coming years, as their own indigenous population increase works its way through to the secondary sector.

Appendices/Supporting Information:

| Further Information Available From: | Name: | David Cooper |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Tel: | 02380917501 |
|  | E-mail: | david.cooper@southampton.gov.uk |
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## BRIEFING PAPER

## SUBJECT: SCHOOL BALANCES

DATE: 24 JUNE 2015
RECIPIENT: SCHOOLS FORUM

## THIS IS NOT A DECISION PAPER

## SUMMARY:

1. This paper details the revenue and capital balances held by schools at the end of 2014/15.

## BACKGROUND and BRIEFING DETAILS:

2. School Revenue balances as at the end of 2014/15

Revenue balances reduced in 2014/15 by £1.228m when compared to the balances held at the end of 2013/14 after removing the effect of schools transferring to academy status.

There were significant differences between the Secondary and Primary sectors. The reduction in the Secondary sector may relate to the falling rolls currently effecting that sector. The increase in the Primary sector may again be due to the uncertainty felt by schools during the year over the future level of government funding as well as an increase in the amount of Pupil Premium allocations to schools. Revenue balances increased in 28 schools and fell in 25 schools. The balances held by individual schools for 2013/14 and 2014/15 are shown in Appendix 1.
3. Schools' own approved budgets were set at a level that would reduce balances by $£ 4.6 \mathrm{~m}$ by the end of the financial year 2014/15. This compares to an actual reduction of $£ 1.228 \mathrm{~m}$ analysed in the table below.

| School Type | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ <br> Outturn <br> $\mathbf{£}^{\prime} \mathbf{0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 / 1 5}$ <br> Outturn <br> $\mathbf{£}^{\prime} 000$ | Change in <br> year <br> $\mathbf{£}^{\prime} 000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Early Years Centres | 45 | 35 | $(10)$ |
| Primary Schools | 6,069 | 6,959 | 890 |
| Secondary Schools | 3,749 | 1,530 | $(2,219)$ |
| Special Schools | 551 | 663 | 112 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 , 4 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 , 2 2 8 )}$ |

4. The table below shows the number of schools across a range of balances:

| Amount of <br> Balance <br> £'000 | Early <br> Years | Primary | Secondary | Special | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Deficit |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0-50$ | 1 | 9 | 2 |  | 2 |
| $50-100$ |  | 5 | 1 | 2 | 13 |
| $100-150$ |  | 6 |  | 2 | 7 |
| $150-200$ |  | 6 | 1 |  | 7 |
| $200-250$ |  | 4 |  | 1 | 7 |
| $250-300$ |  | 1 | 1 |  | 4 |
| $300-350$ |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 |
| $350-400$ |  | 3 | 1 |  | 2 |
| $400-450$ |  | 1 |  |  | 4 |
| $450-500$ |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| $500+$ |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Total | 1 | 38 |  | 8 | 2 |

## 5. Schools in Deficit at the end of 2014/15

At the end of 2014/15 there were two schools in deficit. This compares with no schools in deficit at the end of the previous year. The two deficits totalled $£ 118,000$. There was one approved deficit budget for 2014/15.

## 6. Schools with an Excess Balance as at the end of 2014/15

The number of schools with an excess balance (excluding schools who converted to academy in 2014/15) reduced from 34 at the end of 2013/14 to 30 at the end of last year. Of these 30 schools, 22 had an excess balance in at least the two previous years and the excess has risen year on year in that period in 11 of these schools. The total value of the excess reduced from £5,267,370 in 2013/14 to £4,068,688 in 2014/15 (after adjusting for academy transfers and primary mergers). Those schools where there has been an excess balance for three consecutive years are marked with an asterisk.

## Page 16

## 7. Devolved Formula Capital (DFC) Grant

Schools may carry forward their devolved capital grant for up to three years, enabling schools to save their annual allocations towards the cost of larger projects. The balance of capital grant held at the end of $2014 / 15$ was $£ 594,000$ as shown below. The total available to schools for capital funding in $2015 / 16$ is $£ 1.031 \mathrm{~m}$.

| Sector | 2014/15 <br> Capital Outturn £'000 | $\begin{gathered} \text { DFC Grant } \\ 2015 / 16 \\ £^{\prime} 000 \end{gathered}$ | Total available 2015/16 £'000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Years | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Primary | 249 | 280 | 529 |
| Secondary | 406 | 113 | 519 |
| Special | -61 | 39 | -22 |
| Total | 594 | 437 | 1,031 |

## 8. Other Balances

It should be noted that in addition to schools and capital balances there is a further sum of $£ 1.1 \mathrm{~m}$ held in balances for other accounts such as community and grant accounts. In total there was $£ 10.9 \mathrm{~m}$ in schools balances at the end of 2014/15.

## 9. Loans from school balances

So that school balances are used as far as possible to support the education of children currently in Southampton schools, schools may borrow amounts of up to £200,000 from the pool of school balances to fund certain types of project. All loans in recent years have been to fund IT equipment or facilities. There are 3 loans outstanding with a total debt at the end of $2014 / 15$ of $£ 59,179,2$ of these loans are due to repay in full by 2015/16 with the remainder to be repaid by 2019/20. To date in the current financial year there have been no new formal written applications for loans.
10. Balances of schools who converted to academy status during 2014/15

The table below details the surpluses paid to schools during 2014/15 following their conversion to academy status.

| School | Balance |
| :--- | ---: |
| Wordsworth Primary School | $£ 289,523$ |
| Glenfield Infant School | $£ 55,942$ |
| Tremona Road Hospital School | $£ 20,416$ |
| Total | $£ 365,881$ |

## Appendices/Supporting Information:

Appendix 1 Individual School Balances 2013/14 and 2014/15
Further Information Available From: Name: Gary Wooldridge
Tel: $\quad 02380834756$
E-mail: Gary.Wooldridge@Southampton.gov.uk
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| Cost Centre | School | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ 31 / 03 / 2014 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ 31 / 03 / 2015 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | Budget share 2014/15 <br> £ | \% of Budget Share | Change in Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Excess as at } \\ 31 / 03 / 2015 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Excess as at } \\ 31 / 03 / 2014 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 | Hardmoor Early Years Centre | $(45,328)$ | $(35,167)$ | 553,000 | 6.36\% | 10,161 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Early Years Total | $(45,328)$ | $(35,167)$ |  |  | 10,161 | 0 | 0 |


| Cost Centre | School | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ 31 / 03 / 2014 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ 31 / 03 / 2015 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | Budget share 2014/15 £ | \% of Budget Share | Change in Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Excess as at } \\ 31 / 03 / 2015 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Excess as at } \\ 31 / 03 / 2014 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20030 | Newlands Primary School | $(249,628)$ | $(388,772)$ | 1,728,050 | 22.50\% | $(139,144)$ | 250,528 | 122,40 |
| 20040 | Sinclair Primary School | $(211,391)$ | $(228,308)$ | 874,482 | 26.11\% | $(16,917)$ | 158,349 | 143,741 |
| 24010 | Mansel Park Primary School | $(130,595)$ | $(105,774)$ | 1,304,209 | 8.11\% | 24,820 | 1,437 | 31,394 |
| 24030 | Bassett Green Primary School | $(54,171)$ | $(32,901)$ | 1,859,611 | 1.77\% | 21,270 | 0 | 0 |
| \$4050 | Bevois Town Primary School | $(71,677)$ | $(159,562)$ | 1,112,685 | 14.34\% | $(87,885)$ | 70,546 | 0 |
| \%4060 | Bitterne Manor Primary School | $(41,362)$ | $(34,117)$ | 831,431 | 4.10\% | 7,245 | 0 | 0 |
| (24070 | Bitterne Park Primary School | $(322,796)$ | $(451,311)$ | 2,127,099 | 21.22\% | $(128,514)$ | 281,143 | 228,340 |
| 24090 | Mount Pleasant Junior School | $(286,877)$ | $(421,469)$ | 1,260,726 | 33.43\% | $(134,592)$ | 320,611 | 193,500 |
| 24100 | Maytree Nursery and Infants School | $(69,981)$ | $(158,611)$ | 1,306,826 | 12.14\% | $(88,630)$ | 54,065 | 0 |
| 24170 | Valentine Primary School | $(395,777)$ | $(88,184)$ | 2,194,478 | 4.02\% | 307,593 | 0 | 307,298 |
| 24230 | St Denys Primary School | $(98,975)$ | $(97,161)$ | 864,545 | 11.24\% | 1,814 | 27,997 | 28,074 |
| 24240 | St Johns Primary and Nursery School | $(170,652)$ | $(203,194)$ | 915,634 | 22.19\% | $(32,542)$ | 129,943 | 105,113 |
| 24280 | St Monica Primary School | $(228,498)$ | $(8,350)$ | 2,156,264 | 0.39\% | 220,148 | 0 | 36,073 |
| 24290 | Sholing Junior School | $(142,287)$ | $(186,873)$ | 888,056 | 21.04\% | $(44,586)$ | 115,828 | 70,172 |
| 24300 | Sholing Infant School | $(113,640)$ | $(107,701)$ | 936,192 | 11.50\% | 5,940 | 32,806 | 46,832 |
| 24310 | Swaythling Primary School | $(38,282)$ | $(39,523)$ | 892,849 | 4.43\% | $(1,241)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 24320 | Woolston Infant School | $(93,072)$ | $(38,906)$ | 727,037 | 5.35\% | 54,167 | 0 | 38,068 |
| 24340 | Weston Park Primary School | $(184,172)$ | $(334,612)$ | 2,227,120 | 15.02\% | $(150,440)$ | 156,442 | 13,110 |
| 24360 | Tanners Brook Primary School | $(242,069)$ | $(206,116)$ | 2,228,423 | 9.25\% | 35,953 | 27,842 | 160,204 |
| 24400 | Banister Infant School | $(152,716)$ | $(255,177)$ | 1,014,480 | 25.15\% | $(102,461)$ | 174,019 | 91,200 |
| 24410 | Mansbridge Primary School | $(152,723)$ | $(110,444)$ | 918,841 | 12.02\% | 42,279 | 36,937 | 79,722 |


| Cost Centre | School | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ 31 / 03 / 2014 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ 31 / 03 / 2015 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | Budget share 2014/15 <br> £ | \% of Budget Share | Change in Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Excess as at } \\ 31 / 03 / 2015 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Excess as at } \\ 31 / 03 / 2014 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24460 | Thornhill Primary School | $(94,741)$ | $(98,892)$ | 1,326,221 | 7.46\% | $(4,151)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 24480 | Redbridge Primary School | $(81,674)$ | $(92,779)$ | 937,594 | 9.90\% | $(11,105)$ | 17,771 | 7,313 |
| 24550 | Moorlands Primary School | $(36,305)$ | $(49,024)$ | 1,018,045 | 4.82\% | $(12,719)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 24620 | Weston Shore Infant School | $(42,545)$ | $(27,699)$ | 479,187 | 5.78\% | 14,846 | 0 | 2,545 |
| 24630 | Townhill Junior School | $(78,668)$ | $(130,116)$ | 1,214,296 | 10.72\% | $(51,449)$ | 32,972 | 0 |
| 24710 | Oakwood Primary School | $(134,577)$ | $(190,383)$ | 1,527,422 | 12.46\% | $(55,806)$ | 68,189 | 65,022 |
| 27540 | Fairisle Infant and Nursery School | $(150,707)$ | $(124,414)$ | 1,006,995 | 12.35\% | 26,293 | 43,854 | 72,445 |
| 27570 | Fairisle Junior School | $(150,691)$ | $(212,671)$ | 1,367,813 | 15.55\% | $(61,980)$ | 103,246 | 48,349 |
| 27690 | Foundry Lane Primary School | $(33,597)$ | $(11,481)$ | 1,662,821 | 0.69\% | 22,116 | 0 | 0 |
| 27700 | Shirley Warren Primary and Nursery School | $(134,445)$ | $(191,229)$ | 1,387,583 | 13.78\% | $(56,785)$ | 80,222 | 34,036 |
| , \$7710 | Mason Moor Primary School | $(103,075)$ | $(122,940)$ | 1,191,940 | 10.31\% | $(19,865)$ | 27,585 | 7,512 |
| ${ }^{8} 2000$ | Bitterne C of E Primary School | $(134,090)$ | $(42,366)$ | 819,302 | 5.17\% | 91,724 | 0 | 38,332 |
| -32030 | St Marks C of E Primary School | $(113,699)$ | $(86,847)$ | 1,941,793 | 4.47\% | 26,853 | 0 | 0 |
| 32050 | St Marys C of E Primary School | $(785,685)$ | $(963,125)$ | 2,261,227 | 42.59\% | $(177,440)$ | 782,227 | 606,855 |
| 36550 | Highfield C of E Primary School | $(137,908)$ | $(174,515)$ | 966,237 | 18.06\% | $(36,608)$ | 97,216 | 63,651 |
| 36570 | Holy Family Catholic Primary School | $(171,551)$ | $(393,371)$ | 1,608,990 | 24.45\% | $(221,820)$ | 264,652 | 46,165 |
| 36580 | St Patricks Catholic Primary School | $(234,096)$ | $(389,918)$ | 1,282,700 | 30.40\% | $(155,822)$ | 287,302 | 138,061 |
|  | Primary Total | $(6,069,395)$ | $(6,958,836)$ |  |  | $(889,441)$ | 3,643,731 | 2,825,529 |


| Cost Centre | School | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ 31 / 03 / 2014 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ 31 / 03 / 2015 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | Budget share 2014/15 £ | \% of Budget Share | Change in Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Excess as at } \\ 31 / 03 / 2015 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Excess as at } \\ 31 / 03 / 2014 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42620 | Regents Park Community College | $(1,269,632)$ | $(522,940)$ | 3,766,090 | 13.89\% | 746,693 | 221,653 | 1,061,922 |
| 42640 | The Sholing Technology College | $(698,420)$ | $(378,154)$ | 5,076,359 | 7.45\% | 320,266 | 0 | 448,571 |
| 42700 | Redbridge Community School | $(148,670)$ | $(304,064)$ | 5,921,634 | 5.13\% | $(155,394)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 42710 | Chamberlayne Park School | $(104,101)$ | 84,547 | 2,767,977 |  | 188,648 | 0 | 0 |
| 42780 | Bitterne Park School | $(655,061)$ | $(122,827)$ | 7,346,785 | 1.67\% | 532,234 | 0 | 292,619 |


| Cost Centre | School | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ 31 / 03 / 2014 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ 31 / 03 / 2015 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | Budget share 2014/15 £ | \% of Budget Share | Change in Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Excess as at } \\ 31 / 03 / 2015 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Excess as at } \\ 31 / 03 / 2014 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43060 | Woodlands Community School | $(71,991)$ | 33,458 | 3,670,297 |  | 105,450 | 0 | 0 |
| 43110 | Cantell School | $(550,150)$ | $(34,615)$ | 5,250,449 | 0.66\% | 515,535 | 0 | 272,063 |
| 54150 | St George Catholic School for Boys | $(251,155)$ | $(285,459)$ | 2,948,154 | 9.68\% | $(34,304)$ | 49,607 | 108,027 |
|  | Secondary Total | $(3,749,181)$ | $(1,530,054)$ |  |  | 2,219,127 | 271,259 | 2,183,202 |


| Cost Centre | School | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ 31 / 03 / 2014 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ 31 / 03 / 2015 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | Budget share 2014/15 £ | \% of Budget Share | Change in Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Excess as at } \\ 31 / 03 / 2015 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Excess as at } \\ 31 / 03 / 2014 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11160 | The Compass School | 0 | $(189,073)$ | 2,599,855 | 7.27\% | $(189,073)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 70350 | Springwell School | $(407,583)$ | $(267,372)$ | 1,997,088 | 13.39\% | 140,211 | 107,605 | 258,638 |
| 70360 | Great Oaks School | $(92,495)$ | $(68,131)$ | 2,682,694 | 2.54\% | 24,365 | 0 | 0 |
| 『0370 | The Cedar School | $(10,892)$ | $(2,551)$ | 1,618,909 | 0.16\% | 8,342 | 0 | 0 |
| 吕0390 | The Polygon School | $(22,676)$ | $(39,018)$ | 1,037,502 | 3.76\% | $(16,342)$ | 0 | 0 |
| (T0400 | Vermont School | $(17,240)$ | $(97,022)$ | 636,625 | 15.24\% | $(79,782)$ | 46,092 | 0 |
| N | Special/AP Total | $(550,888)$ | $(663,167)$ |  |  | $(112,279)$ | 153,697 | 258,638 |
| Grand Total ${ }_{\text {(10,414,791) }}(9,187,223)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $1,227,568 \quad 4,068,688$ |  | 5,267,370 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ As they are yet to be approved, expansions at the Inspire Enterprise Academy and Upper Shirley High School have not been included in this data.

[^1]:    ${ }_{3}^{2} 25$ FE will be required to maintain a 5 per cent surplus (in line with DfE recommendation).
    ${ }^{3}$ Again, possible expansions at the Inspire Enterprise Academy and Upper Shirley High
    School have not currently been approved and are not taken into account in these figures.

